

**MATH 369:**  
**SECTION: 4.3 POLYNOMIALS OVER Q, R, AND C**  
**11/05/2025**

LOVE NEPALI

CLASS SUMMARY

We started the class by focusing onto the ways to test if Polynomials with integer coefficients are irreducible over the field of rational numbers or have rational roots or not. We focused mostly on the definition of Eisenstein's Irreducibility Criterion.

**0.1. Ways to Test Polynomials are irreducible.** When does  $f(x) \in Q[x]$  have a root? Suppose  $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$  and  $s/t$  is a root of  $f(x)$  in lowest form (so  $\gcd(s, T) = 1$ ). Then  $s/a_0$  and  $T/a_n$ .

**0.2. Proof.**

*Proof.* If  $s/T$  is a root then  $0 = f(s/T) = a_n (s/T)^n + a_{n-1} (s/T)^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 (s/T) + a_0$  then multiplying both sides by  $T^n$  we got  $0 = a_n s^n + a_{n-1} s^{n-1} T + \dots + a_1 s T^{n-1} + a_0 T^n$  (which is divisible by  $s$  and the last term  $a_0 T^n$  which is divisible by  $s$  and except the first term everything is divisible by  $T$  and that is how we get  $s/a_0$  and  $T/a_n$ )

□

**0.3. Example 1.**  $f(x) = 2x^3 - 3x^2 - 11x + 6$  Suppose  $s/T$  is a factor then  $s/6$  so  $s \in (\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 6)$  also  $T/2$  so  $t \in (\pm 1, \pm 2)$

Taking  $T = 1, s = 1$  then  $f(1) = 2 - 3 - 11 + 6 = -6$

Taking  $T = 1, s = 2$  then  $f(2) = 16 - 12 - 22 + 6 = -12$

when  $T = 1, s = 3$ , then  $f(3) = 0$  so we need to divide  $f(x)$  by  $(x - 3)$  and when we divide it we get quotient as  $g(x) = 2x^2 + 3x - 2$  and remainder, 0 so  $f(x) = (x - 3)(2x^2 + 3x - 2)$  and after that the possible roots are  $(1, 2, -1, -2, 1/2, -1/2)$  and when we substitute  $-2$  in  $g(x)$  we get  $g(-2) = 0$  and that means the  $2$  is also a root. // Again when we divide  $g(x)$  by  $x + 2$  we get quotient  $2x - 1$  and remainder, 0 so our  $f(x) = (x - 3)(x + 2)(2x - 1)$  and that how we get our roots;  $3, -2, 1/2$  // We can also use this exact same method to prove if things are irreducible of the degree is 3 or smaller.

**0.4. Example 2.** EX:  $x^2 - 3$  rational root theorem says the possible roots are  $(\pm 1, \pm 3)$  // None of  $\pm 1, \pm 3$  are roots this polynomial has no rational roots so it is irreducible.

**0.5. Definition: Primitive.** A polynomial in  $Z[x]$  is primitive if the gcd of the coefficients is 1. We call the gcd of the coefficients the content of the polynomial so a primitive polynomial is a polynomial with content 1.

Example

$f(x) = 3x^2 - 12x + 9$  is not primitive because it has content 3.

**0.6. Lemma.** If  $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_0$  and  $g(x) = b_m x^m + b_0$  and  $p$  is a prime. // Let  $i$  be the least index so  $p$  is not equal to  $a_i$  let  $j$  be the least index so  $p$  is not equal to  $b_j$  // then  $i+j$  is the least index so that  $p$  doesn't divide the coefficient of  $x^{i+j}$  in  $f(x)g(x)$ .

Proof

Multiply out  $f(x)g(x)$ , find the coefficient of  $x^{i+j}$

Since the coefficient is the sum of a bunch of things divisible by  $p$  and  $a_i b_j$  which isn't this coefficient isn't divisible by  $p$ .

Ex:  $f(x) = x^2 + 2x + 2$

$g(x) = x^3 - 5x + 4$ ,

$p=2$

$i=2$

$j=1$

$f(x)g(x)$  then the least coefficient not divisible by 2 is  $x^3$ .

$(x^2 + 2x + 2)(x^3 - 5x + 4) = (-5+2)x^3 + (0-0+4)x^2 + (8-10)x + 2(4) = -3x^3 + 4x^2 - 2x + 8$

**0.7. Gauss' Lemma.** If  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  are primitive then so is  $f(x)g(x)$

Proof:

Suppose  $f(x)g(x)$  weren't primitive for a contradiction. let  $p$  be a prime that divides the content of  $f(x)g(x)$  since  $f$  and  $g$  are primitive their coefficients aren't all divisible by  $p$ . let  $r$  and  $j$  be the least indices of coefficients not divisible by  $p$  in each

By the previous lemma  $p$  does not divide the coefficient of  $x^{i+j}$  in  $f(x)g(x)$

This contradicts  $p$  dividing the content of  $f(x)g(x)$

So  $f(x)g(x)$  is also primitive

Theorem :

If  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and it factors in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  then it factors in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

Any polynomial with integer coefficients that factors in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  actually factors into polynomials with integer coefficients.

Theorem: Eisenstein's Criterion

Suppose  $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$  and  $p$  is a prime.

If  $a_n$  doesn't divide  $p$

$p \nmid a_{n-1}, p \nmid a_{n-2}, \dots, p \nmid a_0$

$a_0$  doesn't divide  $p^2$  then  $f(x)$  is irreducible

Proof

Suppose for a contradiction that such as  $f(x)$  factors as  $f(x) = b(x)c(x)$

$b(x) = b_j x^j + b_0$

$c(x) = c_i x^i + c_0$

consider the constant term of both  $b_0$  and  $c_0$

$a_0 = b_0 c_0$

since  $a_0$  doesn't divide  $p^2$ ,  $p$  can't divide both  $b_0$  and  $c_0$  // so  $b_0$  isn't divisible by  $p$

Example:

$f(x) = x^n - 2$  for any  $n > 1$  is irreducible.

pick  $p=2$  //  $p$  divides every coefficient except the leading coefficient, it doesn't divide 1 so by Eisenstein this is irreducible

Example:

$f(x) = 2x^3 + 3x^2 - 9x - 6$

pick  $p=3$

3 divides 3, -9, -6 but it doesn't divide 2 and  $3^2$  isn't divisible by -6 so this is irreducible by Eisenstein.

TRICK

Sometimes we have a polynomial like  $f(x) = x^4 - 3x^3 + 2x + 1$ —No prime factors for Eisenstein's criteria,

suppose  $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$

consider  $f(x+a) = g(x+c)h(x \neq a)$

$f(x)$  is irreducible if and only if  $f(x+a)$  is

consider  $f(x) = x^4 - 3x^3 + 2x + 1$

$f(x+1) = (x+1)^4 - 3(x+1)^3 + 2(x+1) + 1 = x^4 + 3x^3 + 3x^2 + 3x + 3$

which is irreducible by Eisenstein