

*Summary: Todays topic covered are Meet-in-the-Middle attack on DES, 2DES and how a brute force attack would work and ADES introduction*

### KNOWN PLAIN TEXT ATTACK

- Eve Knows Plaintext( $p$ ) and Ciphertext( $c$ )
- $C = E_{k_2}(E_{k_1}(p))$  2DES
- Eve can Brute-Force  $DES(2^{56} \text{ operation})$
- but she cant do  $2^{112} \text{ operations}$
- So she creates two tables one for Encryption and Decryption

Our Goal is to find entries that show up in both tables:  $E_{k_1}(p), D_{k_2}(c)$

Encryption Table	Decryption Table
$E_{k_1}(p)$	$D_{k_2}(c)$
For all $k_1$ and $s$	For all possible $k_2, s$

- How many pairs does Eve encrypt to find the keys ?
- Pretend each entry is a random string of bites( each string has 64 bits)
- Take a string from the encryption table and one from decryption table
- Find the probability they are equal
- Probability 2 bits are equal =  $1/2^{64}$
- Total pair of entries =  $2^{56} * 2^{56} = 2^{112}$
- Expected outcome  $2^{112} * 1/2^{64} = 2^{48}$
- Eve does this again with new  $p_2$  and  $c_2$ ,  $c_2 = E_{k_2}(E_{k_1}, (p_2))$
- What is the expected number of pairs of keys for the second round?
- Expected outcome =  $2^{48} * 1/2^{64} = 1/65536$
- We know there is at least one valid pair exists
  
- Almost always after 2 tries Eve obtains  $k_1$  and  $k_2$
- How many computation is this?
- We have  $2^{56}$  computation for encryption and  $2^{56}$  ... decryption table
- 2DES has only 57 bits for security only 1 more then DES
- Double encryption is vulnerable to meet-in-the-middle-attack
- because  $C = Ek_2[Ek_1(P)]$  and  $P = Dk_1[Dk_2(C)]$
- Braking it requires  $2^{57}$  operations

## 1. 3DES: IF WE USE DES 3 TIMES

- $k_1, k_2, k_3$
- $c = E_{k_3}(E_{k_2}, (E_{k_1}(p)))$
- $D_{k_3}(c) = (E_{k_2}, (E_{k_1}(p)))$
- $D_{k_2}(D_{k_3}(c)) = ((E_{k_1})(p))$
- 3DES is not vulnerable to meet in the middle attack like 2DES
- we use  $c = E_{k_1}(D_{k_2}(E_{k_1}(p)))$  to encrypt
- we use  $p = D_{k_1}(E_{k_2}(p_{k_1})(c))$  to decrypt
- 3DES is still used in practice especially in the financial industry

NIST decided in the 90's it was time to replace DES put out a call for replacement  
The chosen design was an algorithm Rijndael "pronounced rain-dahl"  
became the official replacement of DES called "ADES"  
Advanced Encryption Standard  
Faster and more secure than DES as well as it doesn't have a back door