# MATH 314 Spring 2018 - Class Notes 

## 5/8/18

Scribe: Brennan Traube
Summary: Finished discussion on digital signatures and how to mathematically tie it to a person. Then discussed the birthday paradox (not really a paradox).

Notes: In order to have a signature that is mathematically tied to both the message and the sender, we first use a cryptographic hash function to produce a digest of the message, then sign the digest.

- Send (Original Message, $S(h(m)))=(m, r) \ldots m$ is the message and $r=S(h(m))$
- If Bob receives $(m, r)$ he checks if $r$ is a valid signature for $h(m)$

Examples: If signing using RSA then:

- $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{m}))=h(m)^{d}(\bmod n) \mathrm{d}$ is the "Signature creator"
- Bob checks the signature ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{r}$ ) by checking whether $h(m) \equiv r^{e}(\operatorname{modn})$
- RSA key is ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{e}$ ) with decryption exponent d

Notes: Birthday Paradox. How many people do you need in a room before the probability that two people share a birthday is greater than 50 percent?

- If you sample 23 people, there will be at least a 50 percent chance that two of them share a birthday
- The probability that 2 people share a birthday is $(1 / 365)$

In order to make sense of the probability of more people sharing a birthday, we should calculate the probability that they DON'T share a birthday. The following calculations will be out of 366 days, in order to include leap years.

The probability that 3 people don't share a birthday is: $(366 / 366) *(365 / 366) *(364 / 366)$
For K people, the probability that no two people share a birthday is: $(366 * 365 * 364 *$ ... $\left.(366-K+1) / 366^{K}\right)$

Notes: In general, if you have N things being chosen randomly lambda times, then the probability that none of the N things is picked twice is:

$$
e^{\left(-\lambda^{2}\right) / 2 N}
$$

Notes: Birthday attack on digital signatures

- Suppose Alice is using a hash function $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x})$ that produces digests with 50 bits
- Suppose $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x})$ is pre-image resistant and weekly collision resistant.
- Alice uses $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x})$ to sign her message.
- Eve wants to trick Alice into signing a bad contract
- She (Eve) writes a good contract that Alice would be willing to sign.
- She (Eve) finds 30 places where she can make a small change to the contract
- Alice should be happy to sign any of the $2^{30}$ contracts
- Eve repeats this process for bad contracts, which Alice would not agree to sign
- Eve now has $2^{30}+2^{30}=2^{31}$ total contracts
- Eve will compute the hash of every single one of these contracts
- $2^{50}$ digests exist
$N=2^{50}$
$\lambda=2^{31}$
Now, using the equation from earlier, we get:

$$
e^{-\left(\left(2^{31}\right)^{2}\right) /\left(2 * 2^{20}\right)}=e^{-2048}
$$

This number means that there exist a lot of collisions between the good and the bad contracts.

- Eve goes to Alice with a good contract which, when hashed, produces the same has as a bad contract.
- Eve then takes Alice to court later and presents the judge with the bad contract and its hash (Alice's signature on it.

Notes: How to defend against this attack?

- Alice could make digests with bigger bit size
- Alice can change something small about the contract before signing (Example: adding a comma)
- GENERAL PRACTICE: Never sign something you didn't produce yourself or make a small change to

